

# Open Science Poster Series

## 4. Myths? Busted!

### What are the Myths about Open Science?

We busted the most common.



Learning Open Science practices is not worth the time.



Investing time in learning Open Science practices pays off. It serves to improve your research.



Open Science increases the risk of research theft.



There are simple and effective ways to protect your work. Use DOIs (Digital Object Identifiers), apply appropriate licenses (like Creative Commons), and keep data secure until publication.



Open Science means I must publish all my data.



The principle of Open Science is: “As open as possible, as closed as necessary”. Sensitive data that should not be published (e.g. personal information from test subjects) can and should remain confidential when needed.



Open Science is a sign of low quality.



Quite the opposite. Research has shown that Open Science publications are of higher methodological, statistical, and overall quality compared to traditional publishing models.



Open Science is just a hype that will soon fade.



More and more funding organizations require Open Science publications. As digitization continues to advance globally, Open Science will only become more relevant – not less.

DON'T MISS THE NEXT POSTER  
IN THE  
**OPEN  
SCIENCE  
POSTER  
SERIES**

